Subject: Re: [PATCH] Memory shortage can result in inconsistent flocks state Posted by bfields on Thu, 13 Sep 2007 19:34:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thu, Sep 13, 2007 at 03:27:08PM -0400, Chuck Ebbert wrote:
> On 09/11/2007 08:38 AM, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> > diff --git a/fs/locks.c b/fs/locks.c
> > index 0db1a14..f59d066 100644
> > --- a/fs/locks.c
> > +++ b/fs/locks.c
>> @ @ -732,6 +732,14 @ @ static int flock_lock_file(struct file *
>> lock kernel():
>> if (request->fl_flags & FL_ACCESS)
     goto find_conflict;
> >
> > +
> > + if (request->fl_type != F_UNLCK) {
>> + error = -ENOMEM:
>> + new fl = locks alloc lock();
>> + if (new fl == NULL)
> > + goto out;
> > + }
> > +
>> for_each_lock(inode, before) {
>> struct file_lock *fl = *before;
     if (IS_POSIX(fl))
>> @ @ -753,10 +761,6 @ @ static int flock lock file(struct file *
     goto out;
>> }
> >
>> - error = -ENOMEM;
>> - new fl = locks alloc lock();
> > - if (new fl == NULL)
> > - goto out;
>> /*
     * If a higher-priority process was blocked on the old file lock,
     * give it the opportunity to lock the file.
> Doesn't that create a leak in some cases?
         for each lock(inode, before) {
> >
              struct file lock *fl = *before;
> >
              if (IS_POSIX(fl))
                   break;
> >
              if (IS_LEASE(fl))
> >
                   continue:
              if (filp != fl->fl_file)
> >
                   continue;
> >
              if (request->fl type == fl->fl type)
> >
```

```
goto out; <<<<<< LEAK?
```

You mean, a leak of the memory allocated for new_fl? That's freed at the exit labeled with "out". It's the only exit:

```
out:
     unlock_kernel();
if (new_fl)
  locks_free_lock(new_fl);
return error;
```

> >

And new_fl is initially NULL, assigned only once by the allocation, then assigned to NULL only at the very end when we know we've succeeded.

Am I missing something else?

```
--b.

> > found = 1;
> > locks_delete_lock(before);
> > break;
> > }
```