## Subject: Re: Naming the "Task containers" framework Posted by serue on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 13:57:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Paul Menage (menage@google.com):

- > At the mini-summit, and at other times, I've heard the repeated
- > complaint that having the word "container" in the name of the "task
- > container" framework leads to ambiguity. And separately from the
- > complaints, I've seen the awkwardness that people end up with when
- > they feel they have to distinguish between the "containers" abstract
- > concept, and "Paul's containers" ...

>

- > With the hope/prospect of having the framework merged some time after
- > the kernel summit, I guess now's a good time to bow to the pressure
- > and find some compromise that everyone likes, before we actually hit
- > mainline. (Maybe earlier would have been even better, but ...)

>

- > Of the various possible names that have been suggested, there are a
- > couple that (to me) stand out as good options:

>

- > control groups
- > task sets

>

- > The former (coined by Eric during a brainstorming session yesterday)
- > seems to capture the enforcement aspect of the framework (sysadmin can
- > use it to control the behaviour of processes, processes can't escape
- > from groups), without suggesting that it can only be used for resource
- > controllers (as some alternative names such as "resource groups"
- > imply) and would be a choice that I could be happy with.

>

- > Does anyone have strong views on other alternative names (or even the
- > idea of keeping "task containers")?

Purely subjectively I prefer control groups, but task sets is more descriptive about the implementation.

So I'd have to vote for task sets.

I like 'task containers', but it really is a pain trying to keep clear which containers I'm talking about from one sentence to the next.

-serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from

OpenVZ Forum