
Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 04:44:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 11:38:10AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> By definition any container (about to be renamed control group)

> subsystem is some kind of "controller" so that bit seems a bit

> redundant.

>

> Any reason not to just call it "cpu" or "cpu_sched"

Done (in the latest patch I sent a while back)!

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
