

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 07:20:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/10/07, Dmitry Adamushko <dmitry.adamushko@gmail.com> wrote:

>> On 10/09/2007, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>>> On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:22:59AM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:

>>>> objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,

>>>> read-often. "cpu\_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)

>>> Ok! Here's the modified patch (against 2.6.23-rc4-mm1).

>> as everyone seems to be in a quest for a better name... I think, the

>> obvious one would be just 'group\_sched'.

>>

>

> But "sched" on its own could refer to CPU scheduling, I/O scheduling,

> network scheduling, ...

>

> And "group" is more or less implied by the fact that it's in the

> containers/control groups filesystem.

"control groups" is the name of your framework. right ?

> So "group\_sched" isn't really all that informative. The name should

> definitely contain either "cpu" or "cfs".

"cfs" control group subsystem.

"cfs" looks good enough to identify the subsystem.

C.

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---