
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [akpm](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:22:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 10 Sep 2007 22:53:34 +0530 Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 07:05:00PM +0200, Jan Engelhardt wrote:
> > On Sep 10 2007 22:40, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:
> > >+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
> > >+SUBSYS(cpuctlr)
> > >+#endif
> >
> > cpuctl, cpuctrl, cpu_controller?
>
> *shrug* .. I used "cpuctlr" to mean "CPU Controller". Any other short names
> would do. From your list, cpuctl or cpuctrl both qualifies IMO!
>
> Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.
>

objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
