

---

Subject: Re: [DRAFT] Container mini-summit notes v0.01

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 16:09:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Sorry, I was focusing on the virtual server needs.

>

> devpts is it's own fs so I was fully expecting to make it mountable

> multiple times so a container can have it's own /dev/pts/0. So what

> other virtual devices would we want to be able to rec-reate for a

> migrated application? (I wonder (a) what gregkh will say about having

> a device namespace, and (b) what the sysfs implications will be)

Depends. There are things like the loop device that could be interesting.  
There may be some others. I haven't looked at it enough detail to get  
beyond the fact that in some sense it isn't just limited to pts devices.

A multimount devpts is interesting though.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---