
Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH] memory controller statistics
Posted by [yamamoto](#) on Fri, 07 Sep 2007 05:38:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hi,

thanks for comments.

```
> Hi,
>
> On Fri, 7 Sep 2007 12:39:42 +0900 (JST)
> yamamoto@valinux.co.jp (YAMAMOTO Takashi) wrote:
>
> > +enum mem_container_stat_index {
> > + /*
> > +  * for MEM_CONTAINER_TYPE_ALL, usage == pagecache + rss
> > +  */
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_PAGECACHE,
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_RSS,
> > +
> > + /*
> > +  * redundant; usage == charge - uncharge
> > +  */
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_CHARGE,
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_UNCHARGE,
> > +
> > + /*
> > +  * mostly for debug
> > +  */
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_ISOLATE,
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_ISOLATE_FAIL,
> > + MEMCONT_STAT_NSTATS,
> > +};
> > +
> please add comments on each statistics name.
```

sure.

```
> It's uneasy to catch the meaning of
> ISOLATE/ISOLATE_FAIL without comments.
```

they aren't useful for users who don't read the relevant code.
probably they should be just removed.

```
> > +static const char * const mem_container_stat_desc[] = {
> > + [MEMCONT_STAT_PAGECACHE] = "page_cache",
> > + [MEMCONT_STAT_RSS] = "rss",
> > + [MEMCONT_STAT_CHARGE] = "charge",
```

```

>> + [MEMCONT_STAT_UNCHARGE] = "uncharge",
>> + [MEMCONT_STAT_ISOLATE] = "isolate",
>> + [MEMCONT_STAT_ISOLATE_FAIL] = "isolate_fail",
>> +};
>> +
>> +struct mem_container_stat {
>> + atomic_t count[MEMCONT_STAT_NSTATS];
>> +};
>> +
>> +static void mem_container_stat_inc(struct mem_container_stat * stat,
>> + enum mem_container_stat_index idx)
>> +{
>> +
>> + atomic_inc(&stat->count[idx]);
>> +}
>> +
>> +static void mem_container_stat_dec(struct mem_container_stat * stat,
>> + enum mem_container_stat_index idx)
>> +{
>> +
>> + atomic_dec(&stat->count[idx]);
>> +}
>> +
>
> Can we do this accounting as mod_zone_page_state()(in mm/vmstat.c) ?
> (use per-cpu data for accounting.)

```

we can do so later.

```

>> +/* XXX hack; shouldn't be here. it really belongs to struct page_container. */
>> +#define PAGE_CONTAINER_CACHE_BIT 0x1
>> +#define PAGE_CONTAINER_CACHE (1 << PAGE_CONTAINER_CACHE_BIT)
>> +
>
> Is this used for remembering whether a page is charged as page-cache or not ?

```

yes.

```

>> + page_assign_page_container_flags(page,
>> + is_cache ? PAGE_CONTAINER_CACHE : 0, pc);
>> +
>> + stat = &mem->stat;
>> + if (is_cache) {
>> + mem_container_stat_inc(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_PAGECACHE);
>> + } else {
>> + mem_container_stat_inc(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_RSS);
>> + }
>

```

```

> nitpick,in linux style, one-sentence block shouldn't have braces {}.
>
> ==
> if (is_cache)
> mem_cont...
> else
> mem_cont...
> ==

```

sure.

```

> > + mem_container_stat_inc(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_CHARGE);
> >
> > spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
> > list_add(&pc->lru, &mem->active_list);
> > @@ -377,6 +454,12 @@ err:
> > return -ENOMEM;
> > }
> >
> > +int mem_container_charge(struct page *page, struct mm_struct *mm)
> > +{
> > +
> > + return mem_container_charge_common(page, mm, 0);
> > +}
> > +
> > /*
> >  * See if the cached pages should be charged at all?
> > */
> > @@ -388,7 +471,7 @@ int mem_container_cache_charge(struct pa
> >
> > mem = rcu_dereference(mm->mem_container);
> > if (mem->control_type == MEM_CONTAINER_TYPE_ALL)
> > - return mem_container_charge(page, mm);
> > + return mem_container_charge_common(page, mm, 1);
> > else
> > return 0;
> > }
> > @@ -411,15 +494,29 @@ void mem_container_uncharge(struct page_
> > return;
> >
> > if (atomic_dec_and_test(&pc->ref_cnt)) {
> > + struct mem_container_stat *stat;
> > + int is_cache;
> > +
> > page = pc->page;
> > lock_page_container(page);
> > mem = pc->mem_container;
> > css_put(&mem->css);

```

> > + /* XXX */
> This kind of comment is bad.

sure.

```
> > + is_cache = (page->page_container & PAGE_CONTAINER_CACHE) != 0;
> >   page_assign_page_container(page, NULL);
> >   unlock_page_container(page);
> >   res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, 1);
> >
> > + stat = &mem->stat;
> > + if (is_cache) {
> > +   mem_container_stat_dec(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_PAGECACHE);
> > + } else {
> > +   mem_container_stat_dec(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_RSS);
> > + }
> > + mem_container_stat_inc(stat, MEMCONT_STAT_UNCHARGE);
> > +
> >   spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
> > + BUG_ON(list_empty(&pc->lru));
>
> Why this BUG_ON() is added ?
>
> Thanks
> -Kame
```

to ensure that my understanding is correct.

YAMAMOTO Takashi

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
