
Subject: Re: [-mm PATCH] Memory controller improve user interface (v3)

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 04 Sep 2007 07:19:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/3/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> Paul Menage wrote:

> > On 9/2/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> >> - s += sprintf(s, "%lu\n", *val);

> >> + if (read_strategy)

> >> + s += read_strategy(*val, s);

> >> + else

> >> + s += sprintf(s, "%lu\n", *val);

> >

> > This would be better as %llu

> >

>

> Hi, Paul,

>

> This does not need fixing, since the other counters like failcnt are

> still unsigned long

>

But val is an unsigned long long*. So printing *val with %lu will break (at least a warning, and maybe corruption if you had other parameters) on 32-bit archs.

Paul

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
