

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] Pid ns helpers for signals  
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 17:14:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 09/03, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

>  
> By trying to keep is\_current\_in\_ancestor\*() general, I guess it is more  
> complicated than it needs to be right now.  
>  
> Would holding the rcu\_read\_lock() be enough or since our callers hold  
> it now, can we just drop that too ?

I think rcu\_read\_lock() is not needed right now, and we can drop it.

Oleg.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---