
Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 09:32:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/3/07, Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> wrote:

>> <http://download.openvz.org/~xemul/minisummit.odp>

>>

>

> I notice ?s against "Task scheduler" and "Network scheduler".

>

> Is "Task scheduler" meant to represent "CPU scheduler" or "task count

> limit". If the former, CFS in the mainline should provide a lot of

> what we need, and has already been linked with task containers by

> Srivatsa Vaddagiri.

Yes, task scheduler is the CPU scheduler.

> Network scheduling is already fairly advanced in Linux - all we need

> is a way to be able to feed container information into existing Linux

> traffic control concepts. We've played with an approach that lets us

> tag a container with a particular id, and then use that id as the

> primary classifier in a standard HTB controller, and it seems to be

> fairly successful.

>

> Paul

>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
