
Subject: Re: [PATCH 3/3] Signal semantics for pid namespaces
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Sat, 01 Sep 2007 11:48:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/31, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

```
>
> @@ -48,7 +49,7 @@ static int sig_init_ignore(struct task_s
>   if (likely(!is_container_init(tsk->group_leader)))
>     return 0;
>
> - if (!in_interrupt())
> + if (is_current_in_ancestor_pid_ns(tsk) && !in_interrupt())
>   return 0;
```

We should return 1 in that case, afaics the logic is wrongly reversed.

Oleg.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
