
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] Signal semantics for /sbin/init
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Sat, 01 Sep 2007 11:02:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/31, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

```
>  
> -static int sig_ignored(struct task_struct *t, int sig)  
> + // Currently this check is a bit racy with exec(),  
> + // we can _simplify_ de_thread and close the race.  
> + if (likely(!is_container_init(tsk->group_leader)))  
> + return 0;  
> +  
> + if (!in_interrupt())  
> + return 0;
```

I don't understand why you are trying to mix this patch with pid_ns changes.

We don't need in_interrupt() check unless we use current to decide if the signal goes from the parent namespace.

And in fact, I'd personally prefer to use "is_global_init()" for this patch, because it hopefully can fix the problems we have even without namespaces. This also matches the current check in get_signal_to_deliver().

Oleg.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
