
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 2/3] Signal semantics for /sbin/init
Posted by [Sukadev Bhattiprolu](#) on Thu, 30 Aug 2007 07:11:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov [oleg@tv-sign.ru] wrote:

| On 08/29, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

| >
| > --- 2.6.23-rc3-mm1.orig/kernel/signal.c 2007-08-29 22:53:20.000000000 -0700
| > +++ 2.6.23-rc3-mm1/kernel/signal.c 2007-08-29 23:10:16.000000000 -0700
| > @@ -26,6 +26,7 @@
| > #include <linux/freezer.h>
| > #include <linux/pid_namespace.h>
| > #include <linux/nsproxy.h>
| > +#include <linux/hardirq.h>
| >
| > #include <asm/param.h>
| > #include <asm/uaccess.h>
| > @@ -39,11 +40,42 @@
| >
| > static struct kmem_cache *sigqueue_cachep;
| >
| > +static int sig_init_ignore(struct task_struct *tsk)
| > +{
| >
| > -static int sig_ignored(struct task_struct *t, int sig)
| > + // Currently this check is a bit racy with exec(),
| > + // we can _simplify_ de_thread and close the race.
| > + if (likely(!is_container_init(tsk->group_leader)))
| > + return 0;
| > +
| > + /*
| > + * If signal is from an ancestor pid namespace, do not
| > + * ignore the signal.
| > + */
| > + if (task_ancestor_pid_ns(current, tsk))
| > + return 0;
| > +

| This patch was intended as a fix for the current behaviour, and a preparation
| for the pid_ns requirements. If possible, it should go ahead of all other
| pid_ns changes, imho.

| In any case, we shouldn't mix all this in one patch.

| If there are no objections to this approach, I'll re-send the patch on weekend.

Yes I think your patch looks good. I will apply the other two patches on top
of this.

```
|> @@ -2320,6 +2346,13 @@ int do_sigaction(int sig, struct k_sigac
|> k = &current->sigband->action[sig-1];
|>
|> spin_lock_irq(&current->sigband->siglock);
|> +
|> + if (current->signal->flags & SIGNAL_GROUP_EXIT) {
|> + spin_unlock_irq(&current->sigband->siglock);
|> + /* The return value doesn't matter, SIGKILL is pending */
|> + return -EINTR;
|> + }
|
| This is not needed. Please look at
|
| http://marc.info/?l=linux-kernel&m=118763989718143
|
| I specially removed this chunk in a separate patch, no need to re-introduce.
```

Sorry I missed that. I will remove it.

Thanks,

Suka

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
