
Subject: Re: [-mm PATCH] Memory controller improve user interface

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Wed, 29 Aug 2007 16:07:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 8/29/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>> Change the interface to use kilobytes instead of pages. Page sizes can vary
>> across platforms and configurations. A new strategy routine has been added
>> to the resource counters infrastructure to format the data as desired.

>>

>> Suggested by David Rientjes, Andrew Morton and Herbert Poetzl

>>

>> Signed-off-by: Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

>> ---

>>

>> Documentation/controllers/memory.txt | 7 +++--

>> include/linux/res_counter.h | 6 ++--

>> kernel/res_counter.c | 24 ++++++++-----

>> mm/memcontrol.c | 47 ++++++++-----

>> 4 files changed, 64 insertions(+), 20 deletions(-)

>>

>> diff -puN mm/memcontrol.c~mem-control-make-ui-use-kilobytes mm/memcontrol.c

>> --- linux-2.6.23-rc3/mm/memcontrol.c~mem-control-make-ui-use-kilobytes 2007-08-28

13:20:44.000000000 +0530

>> +++ linux-2.6.23-rc3-balbir/mm/memcontrol.c 2007-08-29 14:36:07.000000000 +0530

>> @@ -32,6 +32,7 @@

>>

>> struct container_subsys mem_container_subsys;

>> static const int MEM_CONTAINER_RECLAIM_RETRIES = 5;

>> +static const int MEM_CONTAINER_CHARGE_KB = (PAGE_SIZE >> 10);

>>

>> /*

>> * The memory controller data structure. The memory controller controls both

>> @@ -312,7 +313,7 @@ int mem_container_charge(struct page *pa

>> * If we created the page_container, we should free it on exceeding

>> * the container limit.

>> */

>> - while (res_counter_charge(&mem->res, 1)) {

>> + while (res_counter_charge(&mem->res, MEM_CONTAINER_CHARGE_KB)) {

>> if (try_to_free_mem_container_pages(mem))

>> continue;

>>

>> @@ -352,7 +353,7 @@ int mem_container_charge(struct page *pa

>> kfree(pc);

>> pc = race_pc;

>> atomic_inc(&pc->ref_cnt);

>> - res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, 1);

>> + res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, MEM_CONTAINER_CHARGE_KB);

```

>>         css_put(&mem->css);
>>         goto done;
>>     }
>> @@ -417,7 +418,7 @@ void mem_container_uncharge(struct page_
>>         css_put(&mem->css);
>>         page_assign_page_container(page, NULL);
>>         unlock_page_container(page);
>> -         res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, 1);
>> +         res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, MEM_CONTAINER_CHARGE_KB);
>>
>>         spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
>>         list_del_init(&pc->lru);
>> @@ -426,12 +427,37 @@ void mem_container_uncharge(struct page_
>>     }
>> }
>>
>> -static ssize_t mem_container_read(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cft,
>> -         struct file *file, char __user *userbuf, size_t nbytes,
>> -         loff_t *ppos)
>> +int mem_container_read_strategy(unsigned long val, char *buf)
>> +{
>> +    return sprintf(buf, "%lu (kB)\n", val);
>> +}
>> +
>> +int mem_container_write_strategy(char *buf, unsigned long *tmp)
>> +{
>> +    *tmp = memparse(buf, &buf);
>> +    if (*buf != '\0')
>> +        return -EINVAL;
>> +
>> +    *tmp = *tmp >> 10;          /* convert to kilobytes */
>> +    return 0;
>> +}
>
> This seems a bit inconsistent - if you write a value to a limit file,
> then the value that you read back is reduced by a factor of 1024?
> Having the "(kB)" suffix isn't really a big help to automated
> middleware.
>

```

Why is that? Is it because you could write 4M and see it show up as 4096 kilobytes? We'll that can be fixed with another variant of the memparse() utility.

```

> I'd still be in favour of just reading/writing 64-bit values
> representing bytes - simple, and unambiguous for programmatic use, and
> not really any less user-friendly than kilobytes for manual use
> (since the numbers involved are going to be unwieldy for manual use

```

> whether they're in bytes or kB).

>

64 bit might be an overkill for 32 bit machines. 32 bit machines with PAE cannot use 32 bit values, they need 64 bits. I think KiloBytes is an acceptable metric these days, everybody understands them.

> Paul

>

> Containers mailing list

> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
