
Subject: Re: [-mm PATCH] Memory controller improve user interface

Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Wed, 29 Aug 2007 22:25:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 2007-08-29 at 15:20 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

>

> I'd argue that having the user's specified limit be truncated to the
> page size is less confusing than giving an EINVAL if it's not page
> aligned.

Do we truncate mmap() values to the nearest page so to not confuse the user? ;)

Imagine a careful application setting and accounting for limits on a long-running system. Might its internal accounting get sufficiently misaligned from the kernel's after a while to cause a problem? Truncating values like that would appear reserve significantly less memory than desired over a long period of time.

-- Dave

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
