## Subject: Re: [PATCH] Send quota messages via netlink Posted by Jan Kara on Wed, 29 Aug 2007 12:46:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Wed 29-08-07 12:00:07, Balbir Singh wrote:
> Andrew Morton wrote:
> > On Tue, 28 Aug 2007 16:13:18 +0200 Jan Kara < jack@suse.cz> wrote:
>>> I'm sending rediffed patch implementing sending of quota messages via netlink
>>> interface (some rationale in patch description). I've already posted it to
>>> LKML some time ago and there were no objections, so I guess it's fine to put
> >> it to -mm. Andrew, would you be so kind? Thanks.
>>> Userspace deamon reading the messages from the kernel and sending them to
>>> dbus and/or user console is also written (it's part of quota-tools). The
>>> only remaining problem is there are a few changes needed to libral needed for
>>> the userspace daemon. They were basically acked by the maintainer but it
>>> seems he has not merged the patches yet. So this will take a bit more time.
> >>
> >
> > So it's a new kernel->userspace interface.
> > But we have no description of the interface :(
> And could we have some description of the context under which all the message
> exchanges take place. When are these messages sent out -- what event
> is the user space notified of?
 The user is notified about either exceeding his quota softlimit or
reaching hardlimit. If you are interested in more details, please ask.
>>> +/* Send warning to userspace about user which exceeded quota */
>>> +static void send warning(const struct dquot *dquot, const char warntype)
> >> +{
>>> + static unsigned long seq;
>>> + struct sk_buff *skb;
>>> + void *msg_head;
> >> + int ret;
>>> + skb = genImsg new(QUOTA NL MSG SIZE, GFP NOFS);
> >> + if (!skb) {
>>> + printk(KERN_ERR
>>> + "VFS: Not enough memory to send quota warning.\n");
>>> + return;
> >> + }
>>> + msg_head = genlmsg_put(skb, 0, seq++, &quota_genl_family, 0,
QUOTA_NL_C_WARNING);
> >> + if (!msg_head) {
>>> + printk(KERN ERR
>>> + "VFS: Cannot store netlink header in quota warning.\n");
```

```
>>> + goto err_out;
> One problem, we've been is losing notifications. It does not happen for us
> due to the cpumask interface (which allows us to have parallel sockets
> for each cpu or a set of cpus). How frequent are your notifications?
 Quite infrequent... Users won't exceed their quotas too often:).
> >> + }
>>> + ret = nla_put_u32(skb, QUOTA_NL_A_QTYPE, dquot->dq_type);
> >> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr_err_out;
>>> + ret = nla put u64(skb, QUOTA NL A EXCESS ID, dguot->dg id);
>>> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr_err_out;
>>> + ret = nla_put_u32(skb, QUOTA_NL_A_WARNING, warntype);
> >> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr err out:
>>> + ret = nla_put_u32(skb, QUOTA_NL_A_DEV_MAJOR,
>>> + MAJOR(dquot->dq_sb->s_dev));
> >> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr_err_out;
>>> + ret = nla put u32(skb, QUOTA NL A DEV MINOR,
>>> + MINOR(dquot->dq_sb->s_dev));
> >> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr_err_out;
>>> + ret = nla_put_u64(skb, QUOTA_NL_A_CAUSED_ID, current->user->uid);
> >> + if (ret)
>>> + goto attr err out;
>>> + genImsg end(skb, msg head);
> >> +
> Have you looked at ensuring that the data structure works across 32 bit
> and 64 bit systems (in terms of binary compatibility)? That's usually
> a nice to have feature.
 Generic netlink should take care of this - arguments are typed so it
knows how much bits numbers have. So this should be no issue. Are there any
other problems that you have in mind?
>>> + ret = genlmsg_multicast(skb, 0, quota_genl_family.id, GFP_NOFS);
> >> + if (ret < 0 && ret != -ESRCH)
>>> + printk(KERN ERR
>>> + "VFS: Failed to send notification message: %d\n", ret);
> >> + return;
>>> +attr_err_out:
>>> + printk(KERN_ERR "VFS: Failed to compose quota message: %d\n", ret);
> >> +err_out:
>>> + kfree skb(skb);
> >> +}
```

```
> >> +#endif
> >
>> This is it. Normally netlink payloads are represented as a struct. How
> > come this one is built-by-hand?
>> It doesn't appear to be versioned. Should it be?
> Yes, versioning is always nice and genetlink supports it.
>> Does it have (or need) reserved-set-to-zero space for expansion? Again,
> > hard to tell...
> >
>> I guess it's OK to send a major and minor out of the kernel like this.
>> What's it for? To represent a filesytem? I wonder if there's a more
> > modern and useful way of describing the fs. Path to mountpoint or
> > something?
> >
>> I suspect the namespace virtualisation guys would be interested in a new
>> interface which is sending current->user->uid up to userspace. uids are
>> per-namespace now. What are the implications? (cc's added)
>
> The memory controller or VM would also be interested in notifications
> of OOM. At OLS this year interest was shown in getting OOM notifications
> and allow the user space a chance to handle the notification and take
> action (especially for containers). We already have containerstats for
> containers (which I was planning to reuse), but I was told that we would
> be interested in user space OOM notifications in general.
 Generic netlink can be used to pass this information (although in OOM
situation, it may be a bit hairy to get the network stack working...). But
I guess it's not related to my patch.
     Honza
Jan Kara <jack@suse.cz>
SuSE CR Labs
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
```

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers