Subject: Re: [RFC,PATCH] fix /sbin/init signal handling Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Tue, 21 Aug 2007 10:30:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 08/21, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

>

- > I am still reviewing this patch and will try to plug in the multiple
- > pid ns code and play with it some more in the next couple of days.

Thanks!

- > But am curious why we need the in interrupt() check and that too only
- > for the container-init process.

For example, send_sigio(). We shouldn't send this signal to /sbin/init. But what if the interrupted process is from the parent namespace?

- > Also, maybe a dumb que, are the checks for SIG_IGN and SIG_DFL required
- > both on sender side and the receiver side (get_signal_to_deliver())

get_signal_to_deliver() checks SIG_IGN/SIG_DFL, but I guess you meant a special check for init.

Unless the patch is wrong, this is not needed. And, in fact we can't do this anyway. Because get_signal_to_deliver() can't know if the signal comes from parent namespace or not.

Oleg.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers