## Subject: Re: [PATCH 19/20] Changes to show virtual ids to user Posted by serue on Thu, 16 Aug 2007 12:13:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Quoting Andrew Morton (akpm@linux-foundation.org): > On Fri, 10 Aug 2007 15:48:28 +0400 > xemul@openvz.org wrote: >> This is the largest patch in the set. Make all (I hope) the places where >> the pid is shown to or get from user operate on the virtual pids. > > > > The idea is: >> - all in-kernel data structures must store either struct pid itself or the pid's global nr, obtained with pid\_nr() call; >> - when seeking the task from kernel code with the stored id one should use find\_task\_by\_pid() call that works with global pids; >> - when showing pid's numerical value to the user the virtual one >> should be used, but however when one shows task's pid outside this >> task's namespace the global one is to be used; >> - when getting the pid from userspace one need to consider this as the virtual one and use appropriate task/pid-searching functions. > > >> ... > >

>> - si.si\_pid = current->pid; > > + si.si\_pid = task\_pid\_vnr(current);

> new references to current->pid into the tree and nobody will notice.

> This is going to be an ongoing maintenance problem: people will sneak

> It'd be best to rename task struct.pid to something else to catch such > problems and to force people to use the right accessors. Is that feasible?

It's certainly feasible, and something we'd previously done for instance in http://marc.info/?l=linux-kernel&m=113751118609597&w=2

> Generally this is a tactic which should be used whenever things like this > are virtualised.

Ok, it's a big invasive patchset, but there's no reason we can't do it.

thanks. -serge

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from

OpenVZ Forum