
Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 14 Aug 2007 14:08:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

>>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):

>>>>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>>>>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development

>>>>>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit

>>>>>> representing this work.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the

>>>>>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be

>>>>>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> So we have a few options:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.

>>>>>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a

>>>>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will

>>>>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include

>>>>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.

>>>>>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could

>>>>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the

>>>>>> mini-summit.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)

>>>>>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>>>>> I will be there in person.

>>>> Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul

>>>> would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel

>>>> rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)

>>> Well, actually, I'd prefer meeting in person even if there will be

>> That's what I meant - if there are <6 people, meet in person in

>> someone's hotel room, and then you've got a phone right there so

>> everyone else can dial in.

>>> only 4 people. Talking tete-a-tete is always better than phone-a-phone :)

>>>

>>> Except for to meet in some cafe/park in case not many people come.

>>>

>>>>>> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at

>>>>>> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is

>>>>>> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality

>>>>>> >from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is

>>>>>> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something

>>>>> else.
>>>> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of
>>>> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all
>>>> can have an overall picture in sight.
>>>> Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of
>>>> what's been accomplished so far?
>>> Not exactly. The entire picture. E.g. I have no idea of what is going on
>>> with network namespaces and checkpoint/restart. I'd also like to know
>>> what
>>> people think about the kernel memory resource management that was
>>> discussed
>>> long ago and some more questions.
>> We could certainly come up with a set of 'status updates' if people
>> would like that. I.e.
>> pidns updates - Pavel/suka
>> netns updates - Eric/Daniel/Benjamin
>> task containers - Paul
>> memory container - Balbir
>> memory checkpoint - Dave
>
> Thanks. I will prepare some presentation. BTW, maybe we can organize

Great, thanks.

> some kind of containers BoF on the conference itself to make other
> people come and listen. Maybe this will attract more developers to the
> virtualization? In this case the presentation may be more descriptive.

By 'the conference itself' do you mean LCE? That might be worth a shot.

thanks,
-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
