Subject: Re: [PATCH] Allow signalling container-init Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 07:29:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oleg Nesterov [oleg@tv-sign.ru] wrote: On 08/08, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote: > > From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> > Subject: [PATCH] Allow signalling container-init > > Only the global-init process must be special - any other container-init > process must be killable to prevent run-away processes in the system. I think you are right, but.... > --- lx26-23-rc1-mm1.orig/kernel/signal.c 2007-08-07 13:52:12.000000000 -0700 > +++ lx26-23-rc1-mm1/kernel/signal.c 2007-08-08 15:09:27.000000000 -0700 > @ @ -1861,11 +1861,9 @ @ relock: continue: > > > - * Init of a pid space gets no signals it doesn't want from > - * within that pid space. It can of course get signals from > - * its parent pid space. > + * Global init gets no signals it doesn't want. > > - if (current == task_child_reaper(current)) > + if (is global init(current->group leader)) continue; ...this breaks exec() from /sbin/init. Note that de thread() kills other sub-threads with SIGKILL. With this patch de_thread() will hang waiting for other threads to die.

Again for threaded-init I guess :-(

Well, we discussed last week about allowing non-root users to clone their pid namespace. The user can then create a container-init and this process would become immune to signal even by a root user?

I think it is better to not change the current behaviour which is not perfect (buggy), until we actually protect /sbin/init from unwanted signals.

Can we preserve the existing behavior by checking only the main thread of global init (i.e pass in 'current' rather than 'current->group_leader' to is_global_init())?

(That said, I am not sure what behaviour is better (worse :), with or without this patch)	
Oleg.	
Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers	