

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/4] sysfs: Implement sysfs managed shadow directory support.

Posted by [Tejun Heo](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 13:06:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello, Kirill.

Kirill Korotaev wrote:

- > Imho then OpenVZ approach with multiple sysfs trees is better.
- > it allows to use cached dentries with multiple sysfs mounts
- > each having different view.
- > It also allows to hide hw-related entries and events from the containers
- > and has quite little modifications in the code.

I thought something like supermount plus some twists or fuse based sysfs proxy would fit better. Dunno whether or how uevent and polling stuff can work that way tho. Note that sysfs no longer keeps dentries and inodes pinned. It might make the shared dentry stuff harder.

Thanks.

--

tejun

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---