Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/4] sysfs: Implement sysfs manged shadow directory support.

Posted by ebiederm on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 15:36:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tejun Heo htejun@gmail.com> writes:

> Hello,

>

> Okay, some questions.

>

- > * What do you think about not allowing duplicate names across different
- > tags? ie. there's only one ethX anywhere but it's visible only in a
- > specific namespace (and maybe in the default global one). Or does
- > everyone need its own eth0. If this is acceptable, the problem becomes
- > _much_ simpler.

I agree, and unfortunately this is the problem I am really trying to solve. Everyone namespace has it's own loopback interface.

- > * I think we can do away with the magic tag and use a pointer to
- > vfsmount instead. So, a process which wants to be in certain namespace
- > can bind-mount /sysfs to its own /sysfs and make needed sysfs nodes
- > bound to the mount. Does this sound okay? Such process should probably
- > be in its own chrooted environment to function properly.

That would actually be preferable.

- > * I haven't really followed the containers thread. Do people generally
- > agree on including it in mainline when we have all the fancy
- > virtualization stuff?

Generally. Assuming all of the i's are dotted and all of the t's crossed.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers