
Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH 2/4] sysfs: Implement sysfs manged shadow directory support.

Posted by [dev](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 10:58:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tejun Heo wrote:

> Hello,

>

> Okay, some questions.

>

> * What do you think about not allowing duplicate names across different

> tags? ie. there's only one ethX anywhere but it's visible only in a

> specific namespace (and maybe in the default global one). Or does

> everyone need its own eth0. If this is acceptable, the problem becomes

> _much_ simpler.

at least on checkpoint/restart names should be restored as is

and conflicts are unavoidable.

this includes ifindex as well by the way.

> * I think we can do away with the magic tag and use a pointer to

> vfstmount instead. So, a process which wants to be in certain namespace

> can bind-mount /sysfs to its own /sysfs and make needed sysfs nodes

> bound to the mount. Does this sound okay? Such process should probably

> be in its own chrooted environment to function properly.

>

> * I haven't really followed the containers thread. Do people generally

> agree on including it in mainline when we have all the fancy

> virtualization stuff?

Thanks,

Kirill

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
