Subject: Re:  Re: [PATCH 2/4] sysfs: Implement sysfs manged shadow directory support.
Posted by dev on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 10:58:23 GMT

Tejun Heo wrote:
> Hello,
>
> Okay, some questions.
>
> * What do you think about not allowing duplicate names across different
> tags?  ie. there's only one ethX anywhere but it's visible only in a
> specific namespace (and maybe in the default global one).  Or does
> everyone need its own eth0.  If this is acceptable, the problem becomes
> _much_ simpler.

at least on checkpoint/restart names should be restored as is
and conflicts are unavoidable.
this includes ifindex as well by the way.

> * I think we can do away with the magic tag and use a pointer to
> vfsmount instead.  So, a process which wants to be in certain namespace
> can bind-mount /sysfs to its own /sysfs and make needed sysfs nodes
> bound to the mount.  Does this sound okay?  Such process should probably
> be in its own chrooted environment to function properly.
>
> * I haven't really followed the containers thread.  Do people generally
> agree on including it in mainline when we have all the fancy
> virtualization stuff?

Thanks,
Kirill

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers