
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/15] Move exit_task_namespaces()

Posted by [dev](#) on Thu, 26 Jul 2007 16:59:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov wrote:

> On 07/26, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>

>> Make task release its namespaces after it has reparented all his
>> children to child_reaper, but before it notifies its parent about
>> its death.

>>

>> The reason to release namespaces after reparenting is that when task
>> exits it may send a signal to its parent (SIGCHLD), but if the parent
>> has already exited its namespaces there will be no way to decide what
>> pid to deliver to him - parent can be from different namespace.

>>

>> The reason to release namespace before notifying the parent is that
>> when task sends a SIGCHLD to parent it can call wait() on this task
>> and release it. But releasing the mnt namespace implies dropping
>> of all the mounts in the mnt namespace and NFS expects the task to
>> have valid sighand pointer.

>>

>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

>>

>>---

>>

>> exit.c | 5 ++++-

>> 1 files changed, 4 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

>>

>> diff -upr linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1.orig/kernel/exit.c

>> linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1-7/kernel/exit.c

>> --- linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1.orig/kernel/exit.c 2007-07-26

>> 16:34:45.000000000 +0400

>> +++ linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1-7/kernel/exit.c 2007-07-26

>> 16:36:37.000000000 +0400

>> @@ -788,6 +804,10 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru

>> BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->children));

>> BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->ptrace_children));

>>

>>+ write_unlock_irq(&tasklist_lock);

>>+ exit_task_namespaces(tsk);

>>+ write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock);

>

>

> No.

>

> We "cleared" our ->children/->ptrace_children lists. Now suppose that
> another thread dies, and its forget_original_parent() choose us as a

> new reaper before we re-take tasklist.
>
> I'll try to read other patches tomorrow, but I can't avoid a stupid
> question: can we have a CONFIG_ for that? This series adds a lot of
> complications.

there was a request from many people including Andrew that CONFIG_XXX
is bad approach.

> OK, I guess the answer is "it is very difficult to achieve", but can't
> help myself :)

First versions of Pavel's patches had CONFIG_XXX for this.

Thanks,
Kirill

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
