

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/4] sysfs: Implement sysfs managed shadow directory support.

Posted by [Tejun Heo](#) on Thu, 26 Jul 2007 08:00:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

Okay, some questions.

\* What do you think about not allowing duplicate names across different tags? ie. there's only one ethX anywhere but it's visible only in a specific namespace (and maybe in the default global one). Or does everyone need its own eth0. If this is acceptable, the problem becomes `_much_` simpler.

\* I think we can do away with the magic tag and use a pointer to `vfsmount` instead. So, a process which wants to be in certain namespace can bind-mount `/sysfs` to its own `/sysfs` and make needed sysfs nodes bound to the mount. Does this sound okay? Such process should probably be in its own chrooted environment to function properly.

\* I haven't really followed the containers thread. Do people generally agree on including it in mainline when we have all the fancy virtualization stuff?

Thanks.

--

tejun

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---