
Subject: Re: [PATCH 13/16] Switch to operating with pid_numbers instead of pids
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 25 Jul 2007 10:07:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

> Pavel Emelianov [xemul@openvz.org] wrote:

> | Make alloc_pid() initialize pid_numbers and hash them

> | into the hashtable, not the struct pid itself.

> |

> | Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org>

> |

> | ---

> |

> | pid.c | 47 ++++++-----

> | 1 files changed, 33 insertions(+), 14 deletions(-)

> |

> | --- ./kernel/pid.c.ve12 2007-07-05 11:06:41.000000000 +0400

> | +++ ./kernel/pid.c 2007-07-05 11:08:23.000000000 +0400

> | @@ -28,8 +28,10 @@

> | #include <linux/hash.h>

> | #include <linux/pid_namespace.h>

> | #include <linux/init_task.h>

> | +#include <linux/proc_fs.h>

> |

> | #define pid_hashfn(nr) hash_long((unsigned long)nr, pidhash_shift)

> | + #define pid_hashfn(nr, ns) \

> | + hash_long((unsigned long)nr + (unsigned long)ns, pidhash_shift)

> | static struct hlist_head *pid_hash;

> | static int pidhash_shift;

> | struct pid init_struct_pid = INIT_STRUCT_PID;

> | @@ -194,7 +198,7 @@ fastcall void put_pid(struct pid *pid)

> | if (!pid)

> | return;

> |

> | - ns = pid->numbers[0].ns;

> | + ns = pid->numbers[pid->level].ns;

> | if ((atomic_read(&pid->count) == 1) ||

> | atomic_dec_and_test(&pid->count))

> | kmem_cache_free(ns->pid_cache, pid);

> | @@ -210,13 +214,17 @@ static void delayed_put_pid(struct rcu_h

> | fastcall void free_pid(struct pid *pid)

> | {

> | /* We can be called with write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock) held */

> | + int i;

> | unsigned long flags;

> |

> | spin_lock_irqsave(&pidmap_lock, flags);

> | - hlist_del_rcu(&pid->pid_chain);

```

> | + for (i = 0; i <= pid->level; i++)
> | + hlist_del_rcu(&pid->numbers[i].pid_chain);
> | spin_unlock_irqrestore(&pidmap_lock, flags);
> |
> | - free_pidmap(&init_pid_ns, pid->nr);
> | + for (i = 0; i <= pid->level; i++)
> | + free_pidmap(pid->numbers[i].ns, pid->numbers[i].nr);
> | +
> | call_rcu(&pid->rcu, delayed_put_pid);
> | }
> |
> | @@ -224,30 +232,43 @@ struct pid *alloc_pid(struct pid_namespa
> | {
> | struct pid *pid;
> | enum pid_type type;
> | - int nr = -1;
> | + struct pid_namespace *ns;
> | + int i, nr;
> |
> | - pid = kmem_cache_alloc(init_pid_ns.pid_cachep, GFP_KERNEL);
> | + pid = kmem_cache_alloc(pid_ns->pid_cachep, GFP_KERNEL);
> | if (!pid)
> | goto out;
> |
> | - nr = alloc_pidmap(current->nsproxy->pid_ns);
> | - if (nr < 0)
> | - goto out_free;
> | + ns = pid_ns;
> | + for (i = pid_ns->level; i >= 0; i--) {
> | + nr = alloc_pidmap(ns);
> | + if (nr < 0)
> | + goto out_free;
> |
>
> If pid_ns->level is say 3 and alloc_pidmap() succeeds when i=0,1

```

It cannot :) If level is 3, then we'll allocate for 3, 2, 1, 0 sequence.
The loop is descending, not ascending...

```

> and fails when i=2, we would try to free_pidmap() even from
> pid->pid_number[2].pid_ns. This would incorrectly a)
> drop reference count on that pid namespace, and incorrectly
> increment pidmap->nr_free.
>
> Should we use kmem_cache_zalloc() and check for a non-NULL pid_ns
> before calling free_pidmap() below ?
>
> |
> | + pid->numbers[i].nr = nr;

```

```

> | + pid->numbers[i].ns = ns;
> | + ns = ns->parent;
> | + }
> | +
> | + pid->level = pid_ns->level;
> | atomic_set(&pid->count, 1);
> | - pid->nr = nr;
> | for (type = 0; type < PIDTYPE_MAX; ++type)
> | INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&pid->tasks[type]);
> |
> | spin_lock_irq(&pidmap_lock);
> | - hlist_add_head_rcu(&pid->pid_chain, &pid_hash[pid_hashfn(pid->nr)]);
> | + for (i = pid->level; i >= 0; i--)
> | + hlist_add_head_rcu(&pid->numbers[i].pid_chain,
> | + &pid_hash[pid_hashfn(pid->numbers[i].nr,
> | + pid->numbers[i].ns)]);
> | spin_unlock_irq(&pidmap_lock);
> | -
> | out:
> | return pid;
> |
> | out_free:
> | - kmem_cache_free(init_pid_ns.pid_cache, pid);
> | + for (i++; i <= pid->level; i++)
> | + free_pidmap(pid->numbers[i].ns, pid->numbers[i].nr);
> |
> i.e all pid->numbers[] may not be initialized here right ?

```

The numbers from i up to pid->level are initialized, so this loop looks correct.

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
