
Subject: Re: [RFC][mm PATCH 4/8] Memory controller memory accounting (v3)
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Sat, 21 Jul 2007 17:11:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 7/20/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>

>> +void __always_inline unlock_meta_page(struct page *page)

>> +{

>> + bit_spin_unlock(PG_metapage, &page->flags);

>> +}

>

> Maybe add a BUG_ON(!test_bit(PG_metapage, &page->flags)) at least for

> development?

>

I'd rather make that a VM_BUG_ON, but that's a good suggestion

>> + mem = rcu_dereference(mm->mem_container);

>> + /*

>> + * For every charge from the container, increment reference

>> + * count

>> + */

>> + css_get(&mem->css);

>> + rcu_read_unlock();

>

> It's not clear to me that this is safe.

>

> If

>

>> +

>> + /*

>> + * If we created the meta_page, we should free it on exceeding

>> + * the container limit.

>> + */

>> + if (res_counter_charge(&mem->res, 1)) {

>> + css_put(&mem->css);

>> + goto free_mp;

>> + }

>> +

>> + lock_meta_page(page);

>> + /*

>> + * Check if somebody else beat us to allocating the meta_page

>> + */

>> + if (page_get_meta_page(page)) {

>

> I think you need to add something like

>

```
> kfree(mp);
> mp = page_get_meta_page(page);
>
> otherwise you're going to leak the new but unneeded metapage.
>
```

Yes, good catch! I am surprised I did not check for that.

```
>> +      atomic_inc(&mp->ref_cnt);
>> +      res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, 1);
>> +      goto done;
>> +  }
>> +
>> +      atomic_set(&mp->ref_cnt, 1);
>> +      mp->mem_container = mem;
>> +      mp->page = page;
>> +      page_assign_meta_page(page, mp);
>
> Would it make sense to have the "mp->page = page" be part of
> page_assign_meta_page() for consistency?
>
```

Yes, that could be done easily.

```
>> +err:
>> +      unlock_meta_page(page);
>> +      return -ENOMEM;
>
> The only jump to err: is from a location where the metapage is already
> unlocked. Maybe scrap err: and just do a return -ENOMEM when the
> allocation fails?
>
```

Sounds good, let me revisit the code.

```
>> +out_uncharge:
>> +      mem_container_uncharge(page_get_meta_page(page));
>
> Wanting to call mem_container_uncharge() on a page and hence having to
> call page_get_meta_page() seems to be more common than wanting to call
> it on a meta page that you already have available. Maybe make
> mem_container_uncharge() be a wrapper that take a struct page and does
> something like mem_container_uncharge_mp(page_get_meta_page(page))
> where mem_container_uncharge_mp() is the raw meta-page version?
>
```

Yes.. right! Will do, I'll write a wrapper.

> Paul

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
