

---

Subject: Re: containers (was Re: -mm merge plans for 2.6.23)  
Posted by [Paul Jackson](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 11:42:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Srivatsa wrote:

> The fact that we will have two interface for group scheduler in 2.6.24  
> is what worries me a bit (one user-id based and other container based).

Yeah.

One -could- take linear combinations, as Peter drew in his ascii art,  
but would one -want- to do that?

I imagine some future time, when users of this wonder why the API is  
more complicated than seems necessary, with two factors determining  
task-groups where one seems sufficient, and the answer is "the other  
factor, user-id's, is just there because we needed it as an interim  
mechanism, and then had to keep it, to preserve ongoing compatibility.  
That's not a very persuasive justification.

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...  
Programmer, Linux Scalability  
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---