
Subject: Re: containers (was Re: -mm merge plans for 2.6.23)
Posted by [Paul Jackson](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 11:10:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Srivatsa wrote:

> So Ingo was proposing we use cpuset as that user interface to manage
> task-groups. This will be only for 2.6.23.

Good explanation - thanks.

In short, the proposal was to use the task partition defined by cpusets to define CFS task-groups, until the real process containers are available.

Or, I see in the next message, Ingo responding favorably to your alternative, using task uid's to partition the tasks into CFS task-groups.

Yeah, Ingo's preference for using uid's (or gid's ??) sounds right to me - a sustainable API.

Wouldn't want to be adding a cpuset API for a single 2.6.N release.

... gid's -- why not?

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...
Programmer, Linux Scalability
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
