
Subject: Re: containers (was Re: -mm merge plans for 2.6.23)

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 19:05:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 7/10/07, Andrew Morton <akpm@linux-foundation.org> wrote:

> > Andrew, how about we merge enough of the container framework to
> > support CFS? Bits we could leave out for now include container_clone()
> > support and the nsproxy subsystem, fork/exit callback hooks, and
> > possibly leave cpusets alone for now (which would also mean we could
> > skip the automatic release-agent stuff). I'm in Tokyo for the Linux
> > Foundation Japan symposium right now, but I should be able to get the
> > new patchset to you for Friday afternoon.
>
> mm.. Given that you propose leaving bits out for the 2.6.23 merge, and
> that changes are still pending and that nothing will _use_ the framework in
> 2.6.23

That's what I was originally thinking too, but since CFS has been merged, CFS group scheduling would use it.

Paul

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
