

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/16] Pid namespaces

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 06:59:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>>>> 3. Suka assumes that pid namespace can live without proc mount  
>>>>> and tries to make the code work with pid\_ns->proc\_mnt change  
>>>>> from NULL to not-NULL from times to times.  
>>>>> My code calls the kern\_mount() at the namespace creation and  
>>>>> thus the pid\_namespace always works with proc.  
>>>> shouldn't that be done by userspace instead?  
>>> It can be. But when the namespace is being created there's no  
>>> any userspace in it yet.  
>> I'm not talking about the 'userspace inside the space'  
>> I'm talking about the userspace creating the space  
>> (what if I do not want to have any proc mount?)  
>  
> yes, can't we let the user doing the unshare or clone decide whether  
> it needs to mount /proc or not in the new pid namespace ?  
>  
> that's already optional on the host.

If we admit that the pid\_ns->proc\_mnt pointer can change from NULL to some valid mount, then we should track all the possible races with its dereference in the code. We have already caught one of such "tricky" places with Suka's patches and sent the OOPs to him.

My opinion is that having kern\_mount-ed proc makes no discomfort but protects from all the possible races with this pointer dereferencing.

Thanks,  
Pavel

> C.  
> -  
> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in  
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org  
> More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>  
> Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>  
>

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---