
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/16] Pid namespaces

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Mon, 09 Jul 2007 12:02:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Jul 06, 2007 at 12:01:59PM +0400, Pavel Emelianov wrote:

> This is "submition for inclusion" of hierarchical, not kconfig
> configurable, zero overheaded ;) pid namespaces.
>
> The overall idea is the following:
>
> The namespace are organized as a tree - once a task is cloned
> with CLONE_NEWPIDS (yes, I've also switched to it :) the new
> namespace becomes the parent's child and tasks living in the
> parent namespace see the tasks from the new one. The numerical
> ids are used on the kernel-user boundary, i.e. when we export
> pid to user we show the id, that should be used to address the
> task in question from the namespace we're exporting this id to.

how does that behave when:

- a) the parent dies and gets reaped?
- b) the 'spawned' init dies, but other tasks
inside the pid space are still active?
- c) what visibility rules do apply for the
various spaces (including the default host space)?

> The main difference from Suka's patches are the following:

>
> 0. Suka's patches change the kernel/pid.c code too heavy.
> This set keeps the kernel code look like it was without
> the patches. However, this is a minor issue. The major is:
>
> 1. Suka's approach is to remove the notion of the task's
> numerical pid from the kernel at all. The numbers are
> used on the kernel-user boundary or within the kernel but
> with the namespace this nr belongs to. This results in
> massive changes of struct's members fro int pid to struct
> pid *pid, task->pid becomes the virtual id and so on and
> so forth.
> My approach is to keep the good old logic in the kernel.
> The task->pid is a global and unique pid, find_pid() finds
> the pid by its global id and so on. The virtual ids appear
> on the user-kernel boundary only. Thus drivers and other
> kernel code may still be unaware of pids unless they do not
> communicate with the userspace and get/put numerical pids.

interesting ... not sure that is what kernel folks
have in mind though (IIRC, the struct pid change was

considered a kernel side cleanup)

> And some more minor differences:

>

> 2. Suka's patches have the limit of pid namespace nesting.

> My patches do not.

>

> 3. Suka assumes that pid namespace can live without proc mount

> and tries to make the code work with pid_ns->proc_mnt change

> from NULL to not-NUL from times to times.

> My code calls the kern_mount() at the namespace creation and

> thus the pid_namespace always works with proc.

shouldn't that be done by userspace instead?

> There are some small issues that I can describe if someone is
> interested.

>

> The tests like nptl perf, unixbench spawn, getpid and others

> didn't reveal any performance degradation in init_namespace

> with the RHEL5 kernel .config file. I admit, that different

> .config-s may show that patches hurt the performance, but the

> intention was *not* to make the kernel work worse with popular

> distributions.

>

> This set has some ways to move forward, but this is some kind

> of a core, that do not change the init_pid_namespace behavior

> (checked with LTP tests) and may require some hacking to do

> with the namespaces only.

TIA,
Herbert

> Patches apply to 2.6.22-rc6-mm1.

> _____

> Containers mailing list

> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
