
Subject: Re: containers development plans
Posted by [serge](#) on Mon, 09 Jul 2007 15:17:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Paul Jackson (pj@sgi.com):

> > they are indirectly related. Sorry for the noise.
>
> Well ... if this is noise, it should be me apologizing, not you <grin>.
> I'm the one who asked.
>
> > Paul Menage's container patches provide a process aggregation mechanism,
> > like PAGG did. This is a common requirement for resource management and
> > other container features like resource isolation and checkpoint/restart.
>
> So ... will you (those using the netns patches) be using Paul Menage's
> containers, or competing with them for the same ends, or what?
>
> To repeat my original question, what is the relation between the work
> described on this thread (which some OLS discussions have decided to

Hi Paul,

containers does mean two things in this thread, but note that we
want to discuss both meanings.

So if any development is planned over the next year on top of
Pauls Menage's containers and/or cpusets, we'd like that listed
here as well.

thanks,
-serge

> base on the netns patches) and Paul Menage's containers and my (now the
> community's) cpusets.

>
> --
> I won't rest till it's the best ...
> Programmer, Linux Scalability
> Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
