Subject: Re: containers development plans Posted by serge on Mon, 09 Jul 2007 15:17:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Paul Jackson (pj@sgi.com):

> > they are indirectly related. Sorry for the noise.

>

> Well ... if this is noise, it should be me apologizing, not you <grin>.

> I'm the one who asked.

>

> > Paul Menage's container patches provide a process aggregation mechanism,

> > like PAGG did. This is a common requirement for resource management and

> > other container features like resource isolation and checkpoint/restart.

>

> So ... will you (those using the netns patches) be using Paul Menage's

> containers, or competing with them for the same ends, or what?

>

> To repeat my original question, what is the relation between the work

> described on this thread (which some OLS discussions have decided to

Hi Paul,

containers does mean two things in this thread, but note that we want to discuss both meanings.

So if any development is planned over the next year on top of Pauls Menage's containers and/or cpusets, we'd like that listed here as well.

thanks,

-serge

> base on the netns patches) and Paul Menage's containers and my (now the > community's) cpusets.

>

- > --
- I won't rest till it's the best ... >
- Programmer, Linux Scalability >
- Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401 >

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers