Subject: Re: Re: netns summary (was Re: containers development plans) Posted by ebiederm on Mon, 09 Jul 2007 12:47:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Denis V. Lunev" <den@sw.ru> writes:

> With a small thing, though.

>

- > We have agreed that we should submit infrastructure pieces one by one,
- > like daniel propose. These pieces are common for all approaches and they
- > will increase the probability of the inclusion of the main piece. We
- > also agree, that the net namespace management mechanism should be the
- > same for all containers.
- > As for approach, we have agreed that we MUST have a second option as
- > there is a great probability that Dave Miller will reject Eric patches
- > again and again.

Roughly. Although the way you put it is bleak. What you are talking about is the normal patch review process.

One thing I do want to emphasize is that we want to do as much review among ourselves as possible, so we don't waste Dave's time reviewing or sorting out issues we can sort out ourselves.

However David Miller and the other networking guys are quite likely to have issues we have never considered. (It is the nature of the beast).

Therefore we should start at simple and as straight forward as we can and fix it from there. If those objections happen to fall where we have already considered them we can easily handle them, because we already have the code. If those objections fall somewhere else it will obviously take us a few more days.

⊢	rı	$\sim$
ᆫ	11	U

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers