
Subject: Re: containers development plans
Posted by [Paul Jackson](#) on Thu, 05 Jul 2007 16:06:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> they are indirectly related. Sorry for the noise.

Well ... if this is noise, it should be me apologizing, not you <grin>.
I'm the one who asked.

> Paul Menage's container patches provide a process aggregation mechanism,
> like PAGG did. This is a common requirement for resource management and
> other container features like resource isolation and checkpoint/restart.

So ... will you (those using the netns patches) be using Paul Menage's
containers, or competing with them for the same ends, or what?

To repeat my original question, what is the relation between the work
described on this thread (which some OLS discussions have decided to
base on the netns patches) and Paul Menage's containers and my (now the
community's) cpusets.

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...
Programmer, Linux Scalability
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
