Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/28] Pid namespaces (two models) Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Wed, 27 Jun 2007 04:01:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Tue, Jun 19, 2007 at 04:00:09PM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote: > On Fri, 15 Jun 2007 19:55:43 +0400 > Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org> wrote:

- > > Long ago Sukadev and I sent two approaches for pid namespaces the
- > > hierarchical model in which namespaces are nested into each other,
- > > and the flat model, where pids have only two values and creation of
- > > level 3 namespace is prohibited.

> >

- > > After that I showed that multilevel model introduces a noticeable
- > > overhead of approximately 1-2% to kernel standard operations like
- > > fork() and getpid(). At the same time flat model showed no performance
- > > hit on these tests.

> >

> > Nevertheless multilevel model is worth living.

> >

- > > This set introduces booth models each under its config option. The
- > > set is logically splitted into the following parts:

>

- > Making this configurable sounds like a very bad idea to me, from the
- > maintainablility/testability/understandability POV.

>

- > We should just make up our minds and do it one way, do it right?
- > I assume that means hierarchical.

>

- > > The following tests were run:
- > > [1] nptl perf test
- >> [2] getpid() speed
- >> [3] Itp (not for speed, but for kernel API checks)

> >

- > > The testing results summary:
- >> * Flat model provides zero overhead in init namespace for all the
- >> tests and less than 7% in the namespace for nptl test only.

why do we see 7% overhead in nptl tests? any idea what actually causes that?

TIA, Herbert

- > > * Multilevel model provides up to 2% overhead in init namespace and
- > > more than 10% for nptl test in the level 2 namespace.

> >

| > > Containers mailing list > Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org |
|--|
| > > Containers mailing list |
| > |
| |
| > |
| If so, I'm surprised that the cost is this high. This should be the first thing we should optimise and I bet there's some quicky way of doing it. |
| So that means we take a 3% hit in these operations when PID_NS_MULTILE\is enabled but the system isn't using containers at all? |
| |

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers