
Subject: Re: [netns] sysfs: issues porting shadow directories on top of 2.6.21-mm2
Posted by [gregkh](#) on Thu, 21 Jun 2007 21:16:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Jun 21, 2007 at 02:48:10PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Dave Hansen <hansendc@us.ibm.com> writes:

>

> > On Mon, 2007-06-18 at 19:57 +0200, Benjamin Thery wrote:

> >> /sys/class/net/ ("real" net class)

> >> /sys/class/net-shadow1/

> >> /sys/class/net-shadow2/

> >

> > This seems like a nice "quick fix", but do we really want to be hacking

> > sysfs around like this?

> >

> > We have the backing sysfs_* entries that are separate from the vfs

> > entities already. Why can't we simply have different /sys vfsmounts

> > with different views of the backing sysfs_* entries?

>

> So far this has been easier. sysfs is so tightly coupled to the kobject

> tree decoupling them is seriously non-trivial.

Tejun just decoupled them, that's why this all changed in the -mm tree,
so it might be a whole lot easier to do now.

thanks,

greg k-h

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
