Oleg Nesterov wrote:
> On 06/17, Oleg Nesterov wrote:
>> Let's look at copy_namespaces(), it does the same "get_xxx() in advance", but
>> -EPERM forgets to do put_nsproxy(), so we definitely have a leak in copy_process().
>
> Ugh, I am sorry, EPERM does put_nsproxy(). Still I can't understand why
> copy_namespaces() does get_nsproxy() unconditionally.

well, if you're cloning a new task and not unsharing some of the namespaces
you still want to increase the refcount on the nsproxy bc a new task is now
referencing it. nop ?

C.

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers