Subject: Re: - merge-sys\_clone-sys\_unshare-nsproxy-and-namespace.patch removed from -mm tree
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sun, 17 Jun 2007 17:09:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Sun, Jun 17, 2007 at 06:38:30PM +0400, Oleg Nesterov wrote:
> On 06/16, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> >
>> On Tue, May 08, 2007 at 07:45:35PM -0700, akpm@linux-foundation.org wrote:
>>>
>>> The patch titled
        Merge sys clone()/sys unshare() nsproxy and namespace handling
>>> has been removed from the -mm tree. Its filename was
        merge-sys_clone-sys_unshare-nsproxy-and-namespace.patch
>>>
>>>
>> This patch was dropped because it was merged into mainline or a subsystem tree
>>>
> >
> > .. [zapped] ...
>>> + * Called from unshare. Unshare all the namespaces part of nsproxy.
>>> + * On sucess, returns the new nsproxy and a reference to old nsproxy
>>> + * to make sure it stays around.
>>> + */
>> +int unshare_nsproxy_namespaces(unsigned long unshare_flags,
>>> + struct nsproxy **new nsp, struct fs struct *new fs)
>>>+{
> >
> > this makes sys unshare leak and nsproxy (reference)
> > can be tested with the following command sequence:
>> vcmd -nu ^17 -- vcmd -nu ^17 -- sleep 10
> I know almost nothing about this stuff, could you please explain in
> brief what this command does ...
yeah, sure, it basically calls sys_unshare() with
bit 17 (CLONE NEWNS) set then invokes the chained
command, so we get a sleep which is in a separate
namespace, unshared from a namespace != the main
one ...
> ... and how do you detect a leak?
>> (and some nsproxy accounting/debugging as used in
>> Linux-VServer)
on Linux-VServer, we have accounting for those
```

```
because we already suspected leakage and reference
bugs in this area some time ago ... btw, I also
suggested to put a similar functionality in mainline
for the time being, but it was ignored, as usual ...
>> we probably want to drop the reference to the old
> > nsproxy in sys_unshare() but I do not see a good reason
> > to take the reference in the first place (at least not
> > with the code in mainline 2.6.22-rc4)
> At first glance, sys unshare() drops the reference to
> the old nsproxy,
okay, the 'current' task has an nsproxy, and keeps
a reference to that (let's assume it is the only
task using this nsproxy, then the count will be 1)
unshare_nsproxy_namespaces() now does get_nsproxy()
which makes the count=2, then it creates a new
nsproxy (which will get count=1), and returns ...
> old_nsproxy = current->nsproxy;
> current->nsproxy = new_nsproxy;
> new_nsproxy = old_nsproxy;
sys_unshare, now replaces the current->nsproxy with
the new one, which will have the correct count=1,
and puts the old nsproxy (which has count=2), and
thus the nsproxy will not get released, although
it isn't referenced/used anymore ...
> if (new_nsproxy)
  put_nsproxy(new_nsproxy);
>
> However, nsproxy's code is full of strange unneeded get/put
> calls, for example:
yep, I totally agree, it is guite a mess, and if
not handled carefully, you end up leaking proxies:)
best.
Herbert
> struct uts_namespace *copy_utsname(int flags, struct uts_namespace *old_ns)
> {
> struct uts namespace *new ns;
```

proxies (and several other namespace related stuff)

```
>
   BUG_ON(!old_ns);
   get_uts_ns(old_ns);
   if (!(flags & CLONE_NEWUTS))
>
   return old_ns;
>
>
   new_ns = clone_uts_ns(old_ns);
>
 put_uts_ns(old_ns);
  return new_ns;
>
> I think it would be better to do
> struct uts_namespace *copy_utsname(int flags, struct uts_namespace *old_ns)
> {
  struct uts_namespace *new_ns;
   BUG_ON(!old_ns);
   if (!(flags & CLONE NEWUTS)) {
   get_uts_ns(old_ns);
   return old_ns;
>
>
>
 new_ns = clone_uts_ns(old_ns);
  return new ns;
> }
> Not only this looks better (imho), this is more robust.
>
> Let's look at copy_namespaces(), it does the same
> "get_xxx() in advance", but -EPERM forgets to do
> put_nsproxy(), so we definitely have a leak in copy_process().
> So, if the command above does clone() which fails, perhaps
> this can explain the problem.
> Oleg.
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum