## Subject: Re: [PATCH] Virtual ethernet tunnel Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Thu, 07 Jun 2007 08:09:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Patrick McHardy wrote: > Pavel Emelianov wrote:
```

- >> Veth stands for Virtual ETHernet. It is a simple tunnel driver
- >> that works at the link layer and looks like a pair of ethernet
- >> devices interconnected with each other.

>>

- >> Mainly it allows to communicate between network namespaces but
- >> it can be used as is as well.

>>

- >> Eric recently sent a similar driver called etun. This
- >> implementation uses another interface the RTM\_NRELINK
- >> message introduced by Patric. The patch fits today netdev
- >> tree with Patrick's patches.

>>

- >> The newlink callback is organized that way to make it easy
- >> to create the peer device in the separate namespace when we
- >> have them in kernel.

>> >

- >> +struct veth\_priv {
- >> + struct net\_device \*peer;
- >> + struct net\_device \*dev;
- >> + struct list\_head list;
- >> + struct net\_device\_stats stats;

>

> You can use dev->stats instead.

OK. Actually I planned to use percpu stats to reduce cacheline trashing (Stephen has noticed it also). The reason I didn't do it here is that the patch would look more complicated, but I wanted to show and approve the netlink interface first.

```
>> +static int veth_xmit(struct sk_buff *skb, struct net_device *dev)
>> +{
>> + struct net_device *rcv = NULL;
>> + struct veth_priv *priv, *rcv_priv;
>> + int length;
>> +
>> + skb_orphan(skb);
>> +
>> + priv = netdev_priv(dev);
>> + rcv = priv->peer;
>> + rcv priv = netdev_priv(rcv);
```

```
>> +
>> + if (!(rcv->flags & IFF_UP))
>> + goto outf;
>> +
>> + skb->dev = rcv;
> eth_type_trans already sets skb->dev.
Ok. Thanks.
>> + skb->pkt_type = PACKET_HOST;
>> + skb->protocol = eth type trans(skb, rcv);
>> + if (dev->features & NETIF_F_NO_CSUM)
>> + skb->ip_summed = rcv_priv->ip_summed;
>> +
>> + dst_release(skb->dst);
>> + skb->dst = NULL:
>> + secpath_reset(skb);
>> + nf_reset(skb);
>
>
> Is skb->mark supposed to survive communication between different
> namespaces?
I guess it must not. Thanks.
>> +static const struct nla policy veth policy[VETH INFO MAX] = {
>> + [VETH INFO MAC] = { .type = NLA BINARY, .len = ETH ALEN },
>> + [VETH_INFO_PEER] = { .type = NLA_STRING },
>> + [VETH INFO PEER MAC] = { .type = NLA BINARY, .len = ETH ALEN },
>> +};
>
> The rtnl_link codes looks fine. I don't like the VETH_INFO_MAC attribute
> very much though, we already have a generic device attribute for MAC
> addresses. Of course that only allows you to supply one MAC address, so
> I'm wondering what you think of allocating only a single device per
> newlink operation and binding them in a seperate enslave operation?
I did this at the very first version, but Alexey showed me that this
would be wrong. Look. When we create the second device it must be in
the other namespace as it is useless to have them in one namespace.
```

But if we have the device in the other namespace the RTNL\_NEWLINK message from kernel would come into this namespace thus confusing ip utility in the init namespace. Creating the device in the init ns and moving it into the new one is rather a complex task.

But with such approach the creation looks really logical. We send a packet to the kernel and have a single response about the new device appearance. At the same time we have a RTNL\_NEWLINK message arrived at the destination namespace informing that a new device has appeared there as well.

```
>> +enum {
>> + VETH_INFO_UNSPEC,
>> + VETH INFO MAC,
>> + VETH INFO PEER,
>> + VETH_INFO_PEER_MAC,
>> + VETH_INFO_MAX
>> +};
> Please follow the
> #define VETH_INFO_MAX (__VETH_INFO_MAX - 1)
> convention here.
Could you please clarify this point. I saw the lines
enum {
RTNL_NEWLINK
#define RTNL_NEWLINK RTNL_NEWLINK
}
and had my brains exploded imagining what this would mean :(
> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe netdev" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
> More majordomo info at http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html
>
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```