Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH] Virtual ethernet tunnel
Posted by Benjamin Thery on Thu, 07 Jun 2007 09:30:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Miller wrote:
> From: Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru>
> Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2007 12:14:29 +0400
>
>> David Miller wrote:
>>> From: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org>
>>> Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2007 19:11:38 +0400
>>>
>>>
>>>> Veth stands for Virtual ETHernet. It is a simple tunnel driver
>>>> that works at the link layer and looks like a pair of ethernet
>>>> devices interconnected with each other.
>>>
>>> I would suggest choosing a different name.
>>>
>>> 'veth' is also the name of the virtualized ethernet device
>>> found on IBM machines, driven by driver/net/ibmveth.[ch]
>> AFAICS, ibmveth.c registers ethX devices, while this driver registers
>> vethX by default, so there is no much conflict IMHO.
>
> If that's the case, veth is fine with me.

I like Daniel's proposals with the tunnel or pipe thing in the name.
I think it is more explicit about what the device really is.

I'm currently using etun, Eric Biederman's implementation. It will be
nice to have this kind of device merged.

-- Benjamin

--
B e n j a m i n   T h e r y - BULL/DT/Open Software R&D

    http://www.bull.com
_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers