
Subject: Re: Pid namespaces approaches testing results
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 21:16:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> The flat model has many optimization ways in comparison with the multilevel
> one. Like we can cache the pid value on structs and some other.
>
> Moreover having generic level nesting sounds reasonable. Having single level
> nesting - too as all the namespace we have are single nested. But having the
> 4 level nesting sounds strange... Why 4? Why not 5? What if I don't know how
> many I will need exactly, but do know that it will be definitely more than 1?
>
> Moreover - I have shown that we can have 1% or less performance on generic
> nesting model, why not keep it?

did you send that patchset ? is it included in the one you sent ?

sorry if i missed something :(

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
