Subject: Re: Pid namespaces approaches testing results Posted by serue on Wed, 30 May 2007 13:27:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Pavel Emelianov (xemul@openvz.org):
> Dave Hansen wrote:
>> On Tue, 2007-05-29 at 15:45 +0400, Pavel Emelianov wrote:
>>> The detailed results are the following:
> >> Test name:
                 spawn
                           execl
                                  shell ps (sys time)
>>> 1(no ns): 579.1
                                1623.2 3.052s
                        618.3
> >> 2(suka's):
                570.7
                        610.8
                                1600.2 3.107s
> >> Slowdown: 1.5%
                          1.3%
                                  1.4%
                                          1.8%
> >>
> >> 3(no ns): 580.6
                        616.0
                               1633.8 3.050s
> >> 4(flat) : 580.8
                      615.1
                              1632.2 3.054s
> >> Slowdown: 0%
                         0.1%
                                 <0.1%
                                         0.1%
> >> 5(multi): 576.9
                       611.0
                               1618.8 3.065s
> >> Slowdown: 0.6%
                          0.8%
                                  0.9%
                                          0.5%
>> Wow, thanks so much for running those. You're a step ahead of us,
> > there!
> Thanks :) Maybe we shall cooperate then and make three series
> of patches like
>
> 1. * The Kconfig options;
>
   * The API. I.e. calls like task_pid_nr(), task_session_nr_ns() etc;
>
   This part is rather important as I found that some places in kernel
>
   where I had to lookup the hash in multilevel model were just pid->vpid
>
   dereference in flat model. This is a good optimization.
>
   * The changes in the generic code that intruduce a bunch of
>
   #ifdef CONFIG_PID_NS
>
>
   ...
   #else
>
   #ifdef CONFIG_PID_NS_FLAT
   #endif
>
   #ifdef CONFIG_PID_NS_MULTILEVEL
   #endif
>
   #endif
>
   code in pid.c, sched.c, fork.c etc
>
>
   This patchest will have to make kernel prepared for namespaces injections
>
   and (!) not to break normal kernel operation with CONFIG PID NS=n.
```

In principle there's nothing at all wrong with that (imo). But the thing is, given the way Suka's patchset is set up, there really isn't

any reason why it should be slower when using only one or two pid namespaces.

Suka, right now are you allocating the struct upid separately from the struct pid? That alone might slow things down quite a bit. By allocating them as one large struct - saving both an alloc at clone, and a dereference when looking at pid.upid[0] to get the pid_ns for instance - you might get some of this perf back.

(Hmm, taking a quick look, it seems you're allocating the memory as one chunk, but then even though the struct upid is just at the end of the struct pid, you use a pointer to find the struct upid. That could slow things down a bit)

Anyway, Pavel, I'd like to look at some profiling data (when Suka or I collects some) and see whether the slowdown is fixable. If it isn't, then we should definately look at combining the patchsets.

thanks, -serge > 2. The flat pid namespaces (my part) > 3. The multilevel pid namespaces (suka's part) >> Did you happen to collect any profiling information during your runs? > > Unfortunately no : (My intention was to prove that hierarchy has > performance implications and should be considered carefully. > > > -- Dave > > > > > > Containers mailing list > Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org > https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers