Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/13] Pid namespaces (OpenVZ view)
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Fri, 25 May 2007 08:30:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pavel Emelianov wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>
>> Pavel Emelianov wrote:
>>
>>> Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org> writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> That's how OpenVZ sees the pid namespaces.
>>>>>
>>>>> The main idea is that kernel keeps operating with tasks pid
>>>>> as it did before, but each task obtains one more pid for each
>>>>> pid type - the virtual pid. When putting the pid to user or
>>>>> getting the pid from it kernel operates with the virtual ones.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> Just a quick reaction.
>>>> - I would very much like to see a minimum of 3 levels of pids,
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Why not 4? From my part, I would like to know, why such nesting
>>> is important. We have plain IPC namespaces and nobody cares.
>>> We will have isolated network namespaces, why pids are exception?
>>>
>>>
>> Pavel,
>>
>> I am taking advantage to the opportunity to ask you if you plan to send
>> a new network namespace patchset ?
>>
>>
>
> Unfortunately no :(Right now we're focusing on pids and
> resource management.
>
Yep, a big part :)

Did you, OpenVZ guys, had time to look at Eric's patchset ?

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers