
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/13] Pid namespaces (OpenVZ view)
Posted by [Daniel Lezcano](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 16:41:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel Emelianov wrote:

> Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>

>> Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org> writes:

>>

>>

>>> That's how OpenVZ sees the pid namespaces.

>>>

>>> The main idea is that kernel keeps operating with tasks pid
>>> as it did before, but each task obtains one more pid for each
>>> pid type - the virtual pid. When putting the pid to user or
>>> getting the pid from it kernel operates with the virtual ones.

>>>

>> Just a quick reaction.

>>

>> - I would very much like to see a minimum of 3 levels of pids,

>>

>

> Why not 4? From my part, I would like to know, why such nesting

> is important. We have plain IPC namespaces and nobody cares.

> We will have isolated network namespaces, why pids are exception?

>

Pavel,

I am taking advantage to the opportunity to ask you if you plan to send
a new network namespace patchset ?

-- Daniel

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
