Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 08/16] Define/use pid->upid_list list. Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Thu, 24 May 2007 09:31:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Pavel Emelianov [xemul@sw.ru] wrote:
 > +/*
 > + * Return the pid t by which the process @pid is known in the pid
 > + * namespace @ns.
 > + *
 > + * Return 0 if:
 > + * - @pid is NULL (eg: procfs calls this for task_pgrp(init_task)
        which is NULL).
 > + *
 > + * - process does not have pid_t in the namespace @ns (eg: parent
        process of a child reaper does not exist in the child namespace.
 > + *
        A getppid() call by the child reaper results in 0).
 > + */
 > +pid_t pid_to_nr_in_ns(struct pid_namespace *ns, struct pid *pid)
 > +{
 > + int i;
 > + struct upid *upid;
 > + if (!pid)
 > + return 0;
 > + upid = &pid->upid list[0]:
 > + for (i = 0; i < pid->num_upids; i++, upid++) {
 > + if (upid->pid ns == ns)
 > + return upid->nr;
 > + }
 This will make users of the kernel who do not need the
 pid namespaces suffer from performance loss. Why not
introduce a CONFIG_PID_NS option?
```

CONFIG_PID_NS is in the next patch which actually enables cloning pid namespace. But this code is common and we are trying to optimize.

Patch 16 makes this an inline function (plan to fold that diff into this if there are no concerns with adding to sched.h).

Also, when number of pid namespaces is 1, the 'upid_list' is allocated adjacent to the 'struct pid' (pls see alloc_struct_pid() for the case num_upids == 1)

```
।
| > + return 0;
```

```
> +}
 > +EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(pid_to_nr_in_ns);
 > @ @ -2182,6 +2185,8 @ @ int proc_pid_readdir(struct file * filp,
 > struct task_struct *reaper = get_proc_task(filp->f_path.dentry->d_inode);
 > struct task_struct *task;
 > int tgid;
 > + /* TODO get pid ns from proc mnt rather than current */
 > + struct pid_namespace *ns = task_active_pid_ns(current);
 IMHO this is not a TODO, but MUSTDO.
 When you have one /proc mount accessed from different pid
 namespaces you may be in situation when one pidnr (like 123)
 represents different tasks in these namespaces and thus the
inode staying behind the dentry with d_name "123" must
reference two tasks. When we scale the model to N namespace
inode must reference N tasks. But I don't see it in the
patches...
We allow remounting /proc in pid namespace (pls see patches 13)
and 14) and expect that /proc will be remounted in the new ns.
>
> if (!reaper)
    goto out_no_task;
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```