

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] ia64 sn xpc: Convert to use kthread API.  
Posted by [Dean Nelson](#) on Wed, 02 May 2007 15:16:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, Apr 30, 2007 at 10:22:30AM -0500, Dean Nelson wrote:

> On Fri, Apr 27, 2007 at 02:33:32PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> > Dean Nelson <dcn@sgi.com> writes:

> >

> > > Taking it one step further, if you added the notion of a thread pool,  
> > > where upon exit, a thread isn't destroyed but rather is queued ready to  
> > > handle the next kthread\_create\_quick() request.

> >

> > That might happen. So far I am avoiding the notion of a thread pool for  
> > as long as I can. There is some sense in it, especially in generalizing  
> > the svc thread pool code from nfs. But if I don't have to go there I would  
> > prefer it.

>

> This means that XPC will have to retain its thread pool, but I can  
> understand you not wanting to go there.

On Thu, Apr 26, 2007 at 01:11:15PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>

> Ok. Because of the module unloading issue, and because we don't have  
> a lot of these threads running around, the current plan is to fix  
> thread\_create and kthread\_stop so that they must always be paired,  
> and so that kthread\_stop will work correctly if the task has already  
> exited.

>

> Basically that just involves calling get\_task\_struct in kthread\_create  
> and put\_task\_struct in kthread\_stop.

Okay, so I need to expand upon Christoph Hellwig's patch so that all  
the kthread\_create()'d threads are kthread\_stop()'d.

This is easy to do for the XPC thread that exists for the lifetime of XPC,  
as well as for the threads created to manage the SGI system partitions.

XPC has the one discovery thread that is created when XPC is first started  
and exits as soon as it has finished discovering all existing SGI system  
partitions. With your forthcoming change to kthread\_stop() that will allow  
it to be called after the thread has exited, doing this one is also easy.  
Note that the kthread\_stop() for this discovery thread won't occur until  
XPC is rmmmod'd. This means that its task\_struct will not be freed for  
possibly a very long time (i.e., weeks). Is that a problem?

But then we come to XPC's pool of threads that deliver channel messages  
to the appropriate consumer (like XPNET) and can block indefinitely. As  
mentioned earlier there could be hundreds if not thousands of these

(our systems keep getting bigger). So now requiring a `kthread_stop()` for each one of these becomes more of a problem, as it is a lot of `task_struct` pointers to maintain.

Currently, XPC maintains these threads via a `wait_event_interruptible_exclusive()` queue so that it can wakeup as many or as few as needed at any given moment by calling `wake_up_nr()`. When XPC is `rmmod'd`, a flag is set which causes them to exit and `wake_up_all()` is called. Therefore XPC doesn't need to remember their pids or `task_struct` pointers.

So what would you suggest we do for this pool of threads?

Is there any way to have a version of `kthread_create()` that doesn't require a matching `kthread_stop()`? Or add a `kthread_not_stopping()` that does the `put_task_struct()` call, so as to eliminate the need for calling `kthread_stop()`? Or should we reconsider the `kthread` pool approach (and get XPC out of the thread management business altogether)? Robin Holt is putting together a proposal for how one could do a `kthread` pool, it should provide a bit more justification for going down that road.

Thanks,  
Dean

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---