

---

Subject: Re: [patch] unprivileged mounts update

Posted by [Miklos Szeredi](#) on Thu, 26 Apr 2007 20:27:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> On Apr 25 2007 11:21, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> >>

> >> Why did we want to use fsuid, exactly?

> >

> >- Because ruid is completely the wrong thing we want mounts owned

> > by whomever's permissions we are using to perform the mount.

>

> Think nfs. I access some nfs file as an unprivileged user. knfsd, by

> nature, would run as euid=0, uid=0, but it needs fsuid=jengelh for

> most permission logic to work as expected.

I don't think knfsd will ever want to call mount(2).

But yeah, I've been convinced, that using fsuid is the right thing to do.

Miklos

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---